



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,772	02/13/2001	Renee M. Kovales	RSW9 20000127US1	2011
53792 7590 04/15/2009 DILLON & YUDELL LLP 8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY. SUITE 2110 AUSTIN, TX 78759				
EXAMINER				
PHAN, JOSEPH T				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2614				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/15/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/782,772

Applicant(s)

KOVALES ET AL.

Examiner

JOSEPH T. PHAN

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 January 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 6-14, 17-33, 35, 37-41, 44-58, 61-68 and 71-88 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 6-14, 17-33, 35, 37-41, 44-58, 61-68, 71-88 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ ~~Notes of Informal Patent Application~~
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

I. Applicant's arguments filed 01/15/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that the prior art of record, Hamel, does not disclose marking respective voicemail segments having different degrees of importance. However, assuming arguendo, it is noted that the examiner uses Valco to teach the different degrees of importance and the combination of Hamel in view of Valco teach the argued features, see the 103 rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3, 6-7, 12-14, 17-20, 22-33, 35, 37, 41, 44-47, 49-58, 61-62, 67-68, 71-72, 74-81, and 83-88 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamel et al., Patent #5943402 in view of Valco et al., Patent #6442243.

Regarding claims 1, 33, and 57, Hamel teaches a method, system and computer readable medium providing bookmarks for a voice mail message by a caller leaving the voice mail message(col.2 lines 12-21); and creating a bookmarked message from the voice mail message and the bookmarks(110 and 120a of Fig.2D), wherein at least two of the bookmarks are used to mark respective segments of the voice mail message(Fig.3A-3B), and wherein at least some of the bookmarks correspond to respective topics (col.1 line 55-col.2 line 21; it is noted the term 'some' can be one).

Hamel is silent on disclosing the bookmarks corresponds to topics and segments having different ones of the degrees of importance.

However, Valco teaches bookmarks having topics and different ones of degrees of importance(Valco col.12 lines 55-59).

In the same field of endeavor of a caller managing voicemails, It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamel's marked segments(Fig.3A) to include different ones of the degrees of importance as taught by Valco in order to distinguish the segment as urgent or not as this would allow a more

efficient way to manage voicemails.

Furthermore, Hamel expressly discloses that a prior art voicemail system, Valco is one, can be used to manipulate Hamel's voicemail system(col.2 lines 3-12) and therefore further establishes the prima facie combination.

It is also noted that Valco uses the same standard twelve key touch tone telephone keypad as suggested by Hamel(col.2 lines 19-21 and col.3 lines 6-10) for marking to further simplify the combination.

Regarding claims 3 and 35, Hamel in view of Valco teaches the method and system according to claims 1 and 33, further comprising means for playing the bookmarked message to a listener(85 Fig.2C, 110 and 120a of Fig.2D).

Regarding claims 6 and 61, Hamel in view of Valco teaches the method, system and computer readable medium according to Claims 1, 37, and 57 wherein a default degree of importance is associated with at least one of the respective segments (Valco col.12 lines 55-59).

Regarding claims 7, 37, and 62, Hamel in view of Valco teaches the method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 1, 33, and 57 wherein at least one of the bookmark corresponds to one or more special types of information in the voice mail message (Valco col.12 lines 55-59).

Regarding claim 67 Hamel in view of Valco teaches a computer readable medium according to claim 59 further comprising code configured to use the at least one bookmark to navigate from one topic of the voicemail to another(*col.3 lines 16-55, col.4 lines 55-67, col.5 lines 16-48, and col.8 lines 35-67*).

Regarding claims 13, 40, and 66 Hamel in view of Valco teaches the method according to claim 7, wherein at least one of the special types of information is a protection or confidentiality indicator message (Valco col.12 lines 55-59; private is confidential).

Regarding claim 14, 41, and 68, Hamel in view of Valco teaches a method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 2, 34, and 58 further comprising the step of using the at least one bookmark to perform actions selectively on one or more segments of the voice mail message (*col.3 lines 16-55, col.4 lines 55-67, col.5 lines 16-48, and col.8 lines 35-67; listening to the segment is an action*).

Regarding claims 17, 47, and 72 Hamel in view of Valco teaches a method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 14, 41 and 68, wherein the selected respective segments are associated with one or more special types of information in the voice mail message(*col.4 lines 55-67, col.5 lines 16-48, and col.8 lines 35-67*).

Regarding claim 18, 44, and 71, Hamel in view of Valco teaches a method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 14, 41, and 68, wherein the actions comprise one or more of saving, deleting, forwarding, listening, skipping, or repeating one or more of the selected respective segments, and marking a particular segment as protected or confidential prior to forwarding the marked segment to another party (Valco *col.12 lines 55-59*).

Regarding claim 19 and 45 Hamel in view of Valco teaches a method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 18 and 44, wherein the actions further comprise marking at least one of the selected segment as protected or confidential (Valco *col.12 lines 4-7 and 55-59*).

Regarding claim 20 and 46 Hamel in view of Valco teaches a method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 19 and 45 further comprising forwarding the segment marked as protected or confidential to another party (*Valco col.11 lines 45-55 and col.12 lines 55-59*).

Regarding claim 22 Hamel in view of Valco teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein the creating step further comprises storing the bookmarks and the voice mail message separately as the bookmarked message (*Hamel 85 Fig.2C, 110/120a of Fig.2D*).

Regarding claim 23 Hamel in view of Valco teaches the method according to claim 2 wherein the creating step further comprises storing the bookmarks and the voice mail message intermingled as the bookmarked message(*Hamel 85 Fig.2C, 110/120a of Fig.2D*).

Regarding claims 24, 49, and 74 Hamel in view of Valco teaches a method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 3, 35, and 58, further comprising announcing for the bookmarked voice mail message, a number of the bookmarked segments in the voicemail message (*Valco col.5 lines 21-38, Hamel col.5 lines 16-48, and col.8 lines 35-67*).

Regarding claims 25-26, 50, 75, 83-86 Hamel in view of Valco teaches a method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 1, 3, 7, 33, 35, 37, 58, 60, and 62 further comprising announcing, before, during, or after playing the voicemail message, the different degree of importance in the voice mail message and a number of segments thereof(*Valco col.5 lines 21-38, Hamel col.5 lines 22-48, col.7 lines 1-8, and col.8 lines 35-67; displaying is also announcing*).

Regarding claims 27, 51, 76, 87-88 Hamel in view of Valco teaches a method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 3, 5, 7, 35, 37, 43, 58, 60, and 62 further

comprising announcing, before and after playing the voicemail message, the special types of information in the voicemail message(*Valco col.5 lines 21-38, Hamel col.5 lines col.5 lines 22-48, col.7 lines 1-8, and col.8 lines 35-67; displaying is also announcing*).

Regarding claims 28, 52, and 77 **Hamel** in view of Valco teaches the method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 7, 37, and 62 wherein particular ones of the special types of information are required, and further comprising the step of prompting the caller to provide input for each particular one for which no bookmark is otherwise provided(*col.5 lines 16-48, and col.8 lines 35-67, Valco col.5 lines 21-38*).

Regarding claims 29, 53, and 78 **Hamel** in view of Valco teaches the method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 1, 33, and 58 further comprising the step of associating one or more audio cues with one or more bookmarks of the voice mail message(*col.3 lines 16-55, col.4 lines 55-67, col.5 lines 16-48, and col.8 lines 35-67*).

Regarding claims 30, 54, and 79 **Hamel** in view of Valco teaches the method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 29, 53, and 78 further comprising the steps of playing the bookmarked message to a listener; and incorporating the one or more associated audio cues along with respective portions of the voice mail message corresponding to the one or more bookmarks (*col.3 lines 16-55, col.4 lines 55-67, col.5 lines 16-48, and col.8 lines 35-67*).

Regarding claims 31, 55, and 80 **Hamel** in view of Valco teaches the method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 30, 54, and 79 wherein the step of incorporating the one or more associated audio cues further comprises incorporating each associated audio cue with its respective portion of the voice mail message (*col.3 lines 16-55, col.4 lines 55-67, col.5 lines 16-48, and col.8 lines 35-67*).

Regarding claims 32, 56, and 81 Hamel in view of Valco teaches the method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 30, 54, and 79 wherein the step of incorporating the one or more associated audio cues further comprises incorporating each associated audio cue in-line with its respective portion of the voice mail message(*col.3 lines 16-55, col.4 lines 55-67, col.5 lines 16-48, and col.8 lines 35-67*).

5. Claims 8-10, 12, 38, 40, and 63-66 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamel in view of Valco further in view of Parsons et al., Patent #6,970,906.

Regarding claims 8-10, 12 38, 40, and 63-66 Hamel in view of Valco teaches the method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 7, 37, and 62.

Hamel is silent about disclosing wherein at least one of the special types of information is one of a: callback telephone number for the caller; a callback time at which to respond to the voice mail message; a callback date on which to respond to the voice mail message; a name of the caller; a URL; an email address; or a protection/confidentiality indicator.

However, in the same field of endeavor of managing voicemails, Parsons teaches wherein at least one of the special types of information is one of a: callback telephone number for the caller; a callback time at which to respond to the voice mail message; a callback date on which to respond to the voice mail message; a name of the caller; a URL; an email address; or a protection/confidentiality indicator(*Parsons col.6 lines 16-35 and col.9 lines 49-57*).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamel's marked segments(*Fig.3A*) to include a callback

telephone number for the caller; a callback time at which to respond to the voice mail message; a callback date on which to respond to the voice mail message; a name of the caller; a URL; an email address; or a protection/confidentiality indicator(Parsons col.6 lines 16-35) as this would allow a more efficient way of handling voicemails.

Furthermore, Hamel expressly discloses that a prior art voicemail system, e.g. Parsons, can be used to manipulate Hamel's voicemail system(col.2 lines 3-12) and therefore further establishes the prima facie combination.

6. Claims 11, 21, 39, 48, and 73 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamel in view of Valco further in view of Haddock, Patent #5,742,736.

Regarding claims 11, 21, 39, 48, and 73 Hamel in view Valco teaches a method, system and computer readable medium according to claims 17, 37, 47, and 72.

Hamel is silent on wherein at least one of the special types of information comprises a uniform resource locator(URL) and where at least one of the actions comprises automatically establishing a connection to the URL.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Haddock teaches viewing and manipulating voice mail messages on a computer display and the contents thereof can be retrieved and connected to applications on the computer (col.4 lines 38-54).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a computer display like in Haddock to view and access information within voice mail messages as taught in Hamel(col.1 lines 45-52).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this as automatically connecting to a URL via a displayed hyperlink is old and well-known in the art and since

Haddock's user is able to use information(e.g. phone #, points of interest, etc.) to automatically establish a connection to it, URL's are just an example of points of interest that can be accessed with a computer application(e.g. "Internet Explorer").

Furthermore, Hamel expressly discloses that features in a prior art voicemail system, e.g. Haddock, can be used to manipulate Hamel's voicemail system(col.2 lines 3-12) and therefore further establishes the prima facie combination.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSEPH T. PHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7544. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9am-6:30pm EST, off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. T. P./
Examiner, Art Unit 2614
/CURTIS KUNTZ/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614